UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Civil Action No. 08-343

In Regard to the Matter of:

Bayside State Prison Opinion and Report

Litigation

Of the

Special Master

DAVID LOPEZ,

-vs-

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al,

Defendants.

FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 2008

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

Page 2 1 2 Transcript of proceedings in the above matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified 3 Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and 4 ., Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza, 6 Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 9:30 AM. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC. Cortified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing 21 251 South White Horse Pike Audubon, New Jersey 08106 856-546-1100 22 23 24 25

```
Page 3
     APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
 3
           LOUGHRY & LINDSAY, ESQUIRES
           BY: LAWRENCE W. LINDSAY, ESQUIRE
           330 MARKET STREET
 4
           CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102
 5
           856-968-9201
           ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
 6
 7
           ROSELLI & GRIEGEL, PC
 8
           BY: MARK ROSELLI, ESQUIRE
                - and -
           BY: KENNETH W. LOZIER, ESQUIRE
 9
           1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33
10
           HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690
           609-586-2257
11
           ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Page 4 1 JUDGE BISSELL: We now reopen the case 2 of David Lopez. 3 The following constitutes the Special Master's determination regarding the complaint of 4 David Lopez, docket number 08-343. 5 6 This opinion/report is being issued 7 pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference to a Special Master and the Special Master's 8 Agreement and the guiding principles of law which 9 underlie this decision to be applied to the facts 10 11 upon which it is based as set forth in the jury 12 instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to 13 the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr. 14 Lopez's case. As finalized after review under Local 15 Civil Rule 52.1, the transcript of this oral opinion 16 17 will constitute the written report required by 18 paragraph seven of the Order of Reference to a 19 Special Master. 20 Mr. Lopez was also a resident of Trailer Number Three whose unit was scarched on 21 22 August 2, 1997 with inmates removed from the 23 promises. He testified that he was pulled off his 24 bunk without any directions first to get up and then 25 also made a part of a pile of inmates four deep on

- 1 the floor.
- 2 I might note this is consistent with
- 3 Mr. Watford's testimony that this is how this
- 4 particular SOG team chose to initiate the extractions
- 5 from the cubicles at the premises.
- 6 He testified that he was dragged out of
- 7 his bunk and banged down to the floor to begin with.
- 8 This may or may not have been the case, but as far as
- 9 the infliction of any serious injury from that event
- 10 is concerned, I find that the rest of his testimony,
- 11 Frankly, is quite incredible and hence clouds the
- 12 accuracy and diminishes the weight of any testimony
- 13 that he was manhandled and injured in the manner in
- 14 which he was taken out of his bunk.
- 15 The testimony appears predominantly at
- 16 pages 19 through 24 and 27 through 28 of the
- 17 transcript of February 26th, 2008. I'm not going to
- 18 go into it in any great detail here other than to
- 19 note that these were very narrow hallways in the
- 20 trailer, barely more than room for a single person or
- 21 perhaps two to pass through side by side. And yet
- 22 Mr. Lopez testified that while being lod from his
- 23 cubicle through the hallway into the dayroom along
- 24 this corridor there were SOG officers lined up on
- 25 both sides kicking, punching and hitting him.

- 1 I determine, based upon the evidence
- 2 that's been brought out, particularly on this record
- 3 for this case, that that is physically impossible.
- 4 He was examined and cross-examined on this subject
- 5 and continued to insist that is what happened, that
- 6 there was this gauntlet in the hallway leading to the
- 7 cubicles, that there was another gauntlet in the day
- 8 room where he was then struck from persons on both
- 9 sides, some 70 total strikes he says in his testimony
- 10 on one occasion.
- 11 He also testified that as he was going
- 12 up the walkway he would be stopped periodically when
- 13 groups of SOG officers were in place and then beaten
- 14 again. This testimony also is not credible and it's
- 15 not at all consistent with other evidence that's come
- 16 before the Special Master here with regard to how the
- 17 gauntlet was constructed and how the prisoners moved
- 18 along it.
- 19 I find, frankly, that his
- 20 cross-examination punched considerable holes in his
- 21 description of the events that occurred to him and
- 22 also, as I said, there just is no inherent common
- 23 sense in his testimony about being beaten by two
- 24 lines of officers, particularly in the hallway
- 25 leading from the cubicles where there was

- 1 not enough room for that.
- 2 I note also in connection with the
- 3 question of his having been dragged out of his bunk
- 4 and dropped on the floor, that in the course of
- 5 cross-examination it was revealed that in his
- 6 complaint, his answers to interrogatories and his
- 7 deposition did not include any description of that
- 8 particular event as a part of the SOG's assaultive
- 9 conduct.
- 10 In short, whatever happened to Mr.
- 11 Lopez didn't happen as he described it and with that
- 12 credibility destroyed and, therefore, no credible
- 13 evidence before me to support his version, or any
- 14 version, of what may have occurred to him, he has not
- 15 sustained his burden of proof in establishing Eighth
- 16 Amendment violations.
- 17 Finally, although not every item of
- 18 evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report,
- 19 all evidence presented to the Special Master was
- 20 reviewed and considered.
- 21 For reasons set forth above I recommend
- 22 in this report that the district court enter an order
- 23 and judgment of no cause for action with regard to
- 24 David Lopez.
- 25 Counsel, that concludes my decisions

```
Page 8
 1
     for today. Is there anything else to which we should
     direct our attention before we adjourn?
 3
                   MR. ROSELLI: I have nothing, your
     Honor.
                    JUDGE BISSELL: Mr. Lindsay?
 5
                   MR. LINDSAY: No.
 6
 7
                    JUDGE BISSELL: We're adjourned.
 8
                    (Hearing Adjourned)
 9
1.0
11
12
1.3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		Page 9
7	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and	
4	Cortified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New	
5	Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a	
6	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as	
7	taken stenographically by and before me at the time,	
8	place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth.	
9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a	
10	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any	
11	of the parties to this action, and that I am neither	
12	a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel,	
13	and that I am not financially interested in the	
14	action.	
1.5		
16		
17		
18		
19	Theresa O. Wastroranni	
	Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R.	
20	Notary Public, State of New Jersey	
	My Commission Expires May 5, 2010	
21	Certificate No. X10857	
	Date: April 29, 2008	
22		
23		
24		
25		

April 25, 2008

-7	-
- 1	

		!	1	
A	9:4	David 1:7 4:2,5	Expires 9:20	happened 6:5
accuracy 5:12	certify 9:5,9	7:24	extent 4:13	7:10
accurate 9:6	charges 4:12	day 6:7	extractions 5:4	Hearing 8:8
action 1:2 7:23	chose 5:4	dayroom 5:23		hereinbefore 9:8
9:11,14	Civil 1:2 4:16	decision 4:10	F	HIGHWAY 3:9
adjourn 8:2	clouds 5:11	decisions 7:25	F 9:1	hitting 5:25
adjourned 8:7,8	come 6:15	deep 4:25	facts 4:10	holes 6:20
Agreement 4:9	commencing 2:7	Defendants 1:10	far 5:8	Honor 8:4
al 1:9	Commission	3:11	FAUVER 1:9	HONORABLE
allegations 4:13	9:20	deposition 7:7	February 5:17	1:21
Amendment	common 6:22	described 7:11	finalized 4:15	Horse 2:21
7:16	complaint 4:4	description 6:21	Finally 7:17	House 2:6
answers 7:6	7:6	7:7	financially 9:13	_
appears 5:15	concerned 5:10	destroyed 7:12	find 5:10 6:19	I
applicable 4:13	concludes 7:25	detail 5:18	first 4:24	impossible 6:3
applied 4:10	conduct 7:9	determination	floor 5:1,7 7:4	include 7:7
April 1:16 9:21	connection 7:2	4:4	following 4:3	incredible 5:11
assaultive 7:8	considerable	determine 6:1	foregoing 9:5	infliction 5:9
attention 8:2	6:20	diminishes 5:12	FORMAROLI	inherent 6:22
attorney 9:10,12	considered 7:20	direct 8:2	2:20	initiate 5:4
ATTORNEYS	consistent 5:2	directions 4:24	forth 4:11 7:21	injured 5:13
3:5,11	6:15	directives 4:7	9:8	injury 5:9
Audubon 2:21	constitute 4:17	discussed 7:18	four 4:25	inmates 4:22,25
August 4:22	constitutes 4:3	district 1:1,2 2:6	frankly 5:11	insist 6:5
	constructed 6:17	7:22	6:19	instructions
В	continued 6:5	docket 4:5	FRIDAY 1:16	4:12
banged 5:7	corridor 5:24	dragged 5:6 7:3	FURTHER 9:9	interested 9:13
barely 5:20	counsel 7:25	dropped 7:4	G	interrogatorics
based 4:11 6:1	9:10,12			7:6
Bayside 1:6	course 7:4	E	gauntlet 6:6,7,17	issued 4:6
beaten 6:13,23	court 1:1 2:4,6	E 3:1,1 9:1,1	Gerry 2:6	item 7:17
BISSELL 1:21	2:20 7:22	Eighth 7:15	go 5:18	J
4:1 8:5,7	credibility 7:12	employee 9:10	going 5:17 6:11	<u>-</u>
brought 6:2	credible 6:14	9:12	great 5:18	Jersey 1:2 2:5,7
bunk 4:24 5:7	7:12	enter 7:22	GRIEGEL 3:7	2:21 3:4,10 9:5
5:14 7:3	cross-examina	ESQUIRE 3:3,8	groups 6:13	9:20 IOHN 1-21
burden 7:15	6:20 7:5	3:9	guiding 4:9	JOHN 1:21
	cross-examined	ESQUIRES 3:3	H	JUDGE 4:1 8:5
, C	6:4	establishing	H 1:9	8:7
C 3:1 9:1,1	cubicle 5:23	7:15	hallway 5:23 6:6	judgment 7:23
Camden 2:7 3:4	cubicles 5:5 6:7	et 1:9	6:24	jury 4:11,12
case 4:1,14 5:8	6:25	event 5:9 7:8	hallways 5:19	K
6:3	C.S.R 9:19	events 6:21	HAMILTON	KENNETH 3:9
cause 7:23		evidence 6:1,15	3:10	kicking 5:25
Certificate 9:21	D	7:13,18,19	happen 7:11	Kicking 5.25
Certified 2:3,20	date 9:8,21	examined 6:4	наррец /.11	
				005000000000000000000000000000000000000

April 25, 2008

	4.21	1		4
L	4:21	prisoners 6:17	searched 4:21	trailer 4:21 5:20
law 4:9	O	proceedings 2:2	sense 6:23	transcript 2:2
LAWRENCE	O 2:3 9:3,19	proof 7:15	serious 5:9	4:16 5:17 9:6
3:3	occasion 6:10	Public 2:5 9:3,20	set 4:11 7:21 9:8	true 9:6
leading 6:6,25	occurred 6:21	pulled 4:23	seven 4:18	two 5:21 6:23
led 5:22	l,	punched 6:20	short 7:10	<u> </u>
license 2:4	7:14	punching 5:25	Shorthand 9:4	underlie 4:10
Lindsay 3:3,3	officers 5:24	pursuant 4:7	side 5:21,21	
8:5,6	6:13,24	_	sides 5:25 6:9	unit 4:21
lined 5:24	opinion 1:6 4:16	Q	single 5:20	United 1:1 2:6
lines 6:24	opinion/report	question 7:3	SOG 5:4,24 6:13	\mathbf{v}
Litigation 1:6	4:6 7:18	quite 5:11	SOG's 7:8	version 7:13,14
Local 4:15	oral 4:16	R	South 2:21	Videoconfere
Lopez 1:7 4:2,5	order 4:7,18	R 3:1 9:1	Special 1:7,21	2:20
4:20 5:22 7:11	7:22	reasons 7:21	4:3,8,8,19 6:16	violations 7:16
7:24	P		7:19	violations 7:16 vs 1:8
Lopez's 4:14	<u>-</u>	recommend	SQUARE 3:10	VS 1:8
LOUGHRY 3:3	P 3:1,1	7:21	State 1:6 2:5 3:9	\mathbf{w}
LOZIER 3:9	pages 5:16	record 6:2	9:4,20	W 1:21 3:3,9
	paragraph 4:18	Reference 4:7	States 1:1 2:6	Walker 4:12
M	part 4:25 7:8	4:18	stenographica	walkway 6:12
manhandled	particular 5:4	regard 1:5 6:16	9:7	Watford's 5:3
5:13	7:8	7:23	stopped 6:12	weight 5:12
manner 5:13	particularly 6:2	regarding 4:4	STREET 3:4	We're 8:7
MARK 3:8	6:24	relative 9:10,12	strikes 6:9	White 2:21
MARKET 3:4	parties 9:11	removed 4:22	struck 6:8	WILLIAM 1:9
Master 1:7,21	pass 5:21	reopen 4:1	subject 6:4	written 4:17
4:8,19 6:16	PC 3:7	report 1:6 4:17	support 7:13	written 4:17
7:19	periodically	7:22	sustained 7:15	X
Master's 4:4,8	6:12	Reporter 2:4 9:4		XIO8579:21
Mastroianni 2:3	person 5:20	Reporting 2:20	_ T	A100017.21
2:20 9:3,19	persons 6:8	required 4:17	T 9:1,1	0
matter 1:5 2:3	physically 6:3	resident 4:20	taken 2:3 5:14	08-343 1:2 4:5
Mejias 4:12	Pike 2:21	rest 5:10	9:7	08102 2:7 3:4
moved 6:17	pile 4:25	revealed 7:5	team 5:4	08106 2:21
	place 6:13 9:8	review 4:15	testified 4:23 5:6	086903:10
N	PLAINTIFFS	reviewed 7:20	5:22 6:11	
N 3:1	3:5	room 5:20 6:8	testimony 5:3,10	11
narrow 5:19	Plaza 2:6	7:1	5:12,15 6:9,14	1337 3:9
neither 9:9,11	predominantly	ROSELLI 3:7,8	6:23 9:6	19 5:16
New 1:2 2:5,7,21	5:15	8:3	Theresa 2:3 9:3	1997 4:22
3:4,10 9:4,20	premises 4:23	Rule 4:16	9:19	
Notary 2:5 9:3	5:5		Three 4:21	2
9:20	presented 7:19	S	time 9:7	2 4:22
note 5:2,19 7:2	principles 4:9	S 3:1	today 8:1	2008 1:16 5:17
number 2:4 4:5	Prison 1:6	says 6:9	total 6:9	9:21
17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (17 (- - 11.00:0			000000000000000000000000000000000000000

			٨ .لـ
2010 9:20			
24 5:16			
25 1:16			
251 2:21			
26th 5:17			
27 5:16			
28 5:16			
29 9:21			
27 7.21			
3			
30X100085700			
2:4			
33 3:9			
330 3:4			
550 5.4			
5 9:20			
52.1 4:16			
6			
609-586-2257			
3:10			
7			
70 6:9			
8			
856-546-1100			
2:22			
856-968-9201			
3:5			
Δ			
9.			
9:30 2:7			
			}
		<u> </u>	